

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SERGEY MKHITARYAN,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

COUNTY OF CLARK,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:24-cv-00708-RFB-NJK

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On September 12, 2024, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion to screen the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Docket No. 12.¹ The Court dismissed the complaint as violating Rule 8 and Rule 10 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, *see id.* at 1-2, and provided Plaintiff leave to amend, *id.* at 2. The deadline to amend was set for October 11, 2024. *Id.* Accounting for extension, the current deadline to file the amended complaint is established at June 16, 2025. Docket No. 40 at 1. The Court warned in that order that “[f]ailure to file an amended complaint by the date may result in dismissal.” *Id.* (emphasis in original).

Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

Dated: June 27, 2025

Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The motion to screen was filed by counsel appointed to represent Plaintiff. See Docket No. 11. Appointed counsel eventually filed a notice indicating that they should no longer represent Plaintiff in this case. Docket No. 36 at 2 (“given the state court’s competency finding and Plaintiff’s noncommunication with counsel, the undersigned attorneys believe that counsel should not continue to represent the Plaintiff”). In light of the circumstances, the Court removed counsel from the case. Docket No. 37. The Court also denied Plaintiff’s subsequent motion to re-appoint the removed counsel. Docket No. 42. Plaintiff is currently proceeding *pro se*.

NOTICE

2 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States District Judge assigned
3 to this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and
4 recommendation must file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen
5 days of being served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file
6 a timely objection may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951
7 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).